

AGRICULTURAL. Buckeye Mower.

Which has proven itself, in five years' trial by the farmers of Kentucky and Indiana, to give better satisfaction and have more points of excellence in it than all other

FARMERS,
PLEASE CALL AND EXAMINE THE CIRCLE.

Buckeye Reaper & Mower

Which has proven itself, in five years' trial by the farmers of Kentucky and Indiana, to give better satisfaction and have more points of excellence in it than all other

REAPERS AND MOWERS COMBINED.
WARRANTY.
We warrant these Machines to be well made, of good material, simple, durable, and easy to drive for two horses.

The Combined Reaper will cut from 12 to 15 acres of small grain per day. The Single Mower will cut and mow from 8 to 10 acres of grain per day. Buckeye Mowers will cut in a perfect manner, and will work without clogging.

CASH PRICES.
Combined Reaper and Mower, \$150.
Single Mower, \$120.
Large Size Mower, \$180.
Small Size Mower, \$100.

Notice.
We have but a limited number of Machines to supply the market, and if you wish to secure one, call and have your order entered.

We always keep a full supply of Extras for every part of the Machines on hand, and will repair them, and also make alterations, and will repair them, and also make alterations, and will repair them, and also make alterations.

PITKIN, WIARD & CO.,
Sole Agents for Kentucky.

SWEETSTAKES

The Monday's issue will be a separate paper, containing, of course, each additional news by the mails and by telegraph as we can procure, together with everything of local importance transpiring up to the hour of issue. The newsboys and news agents are invited to the advantages of the Sunday Democrat, as it will appear under the new organization. The first number will be issued on next Sunday, May 23d.

Those agents at a distance who take the Democrat by express will be supplied with it at the usual rates, and the paper will hereafter be sent them either by mail or by express, as they may prefer.

Mail subscribers will not receive the Sunday issue unless specially ordered, but Monday's issue, with Sunday's and Monday's dispatches, will be forwarded instantly. This will be more acceptable to a large number of our mail subscribers.

The "Democrat," a pure and simple, is located on the south side of the street, and we suppose, would prefer to be designated as the Democratic organ, South. The "Conservative," constitutional Democratic organ, is located on the north side of the street, and, therefore, claims special relationship with the Northern Democracy.—[Union Press.]

We do not know who the editor of the Press is. He is anonymous; perhaps too modest to be known, or maybe slightly ashamed of his calling. We can readily excuse this blundering, as he is rather green in politics; but we should like to drag him out into the light and be sure we was not some reformer Secessionist of 1850.

There was in the South that year a large secession from the Democratic party in the Southern States. They quit the party because it would not promise to protect slavery in a territory where the people didn't want it.

Most of the friends of the Union Press located in the South that year wanted to see their share in pieces of that great Southern constitutional right.

We had the honor of standing almost alone amongst newspapers in the South in opposition to this secessionist policy in this State. The Democracy, the great mass of them, were of the North. They offered, as they always had done, all that was just and proper to the South; but they would not promise to establish slavery in every Territory. These politicians South that made issue of secession from the party. They and the Bell men rivaled each other in their zeal for the Disunion issue. They ridiculed and denounced the Democracy of the North, and nearly sacrificed themselves to death at its and the Democracy, who would follow no strange gods.

Now, we want to know if this writer in the Press did not belong to that class of men South who raved and frothed at us as an Abolitionist, because we could not see the great constitutional right of the South? Didn't he vote for J. F. Bell in 1850?

The Northern Democrats agreed with us in 1850, and, indeed, before and since. The Secessionists who went after Breckinridge, and the other wing of Secessionists South who supported Bell, raving about secession from the Union, and denouncing the Democracy of the North, are welcome to be Democrats now, if they will leave their political sins behind them. They may have the credit of the name; we don't need it; for the Democracy know that we are of them. Our record is there, and against all Secessionists and Abolitionists.

But who is this editor of the Press? Where has he been, and what has he been? We want to see how much progress he has made. We want to know if he is a party man, a few years ago, were for every everywhere, whether the people wanted it or not, and are shocked now at its existence anywhere, no matter how much people may want it. We want to know how much a man can change in a short time, and how it is done. Let the editor put up his name, so that the rest of mankind can see it. The pioneer organ that is to report things generally, and lead off in a great reform on a new path that ignores old parties, and is for the "indispensable" and nothing else, ought not to hide the name of its editor from the world. Let the editor come out and show himself. He may be ashamed, but he need not be afraid.

The Louisville Democrat is no organ of Democrats South. Most who were Democrats once in the South left the party in 1850. They bolted, and their opponents, who shouted loud and they did, went with them into secession. They must report their sins before they enter the Democratic party again. There are plenty to fill their places in this State, who regret they were not always Democrats. They can never be Disunionists. If Disunion takes place, it will be by the conduct of others, in spite of Democrats. If the Union is dissolved by the ill conduct of other parties, the Democrats will go to work to rebuild what

Daily Democrat.

TERMS OF THE DAILY DEMOCRAT TO THE COUNTRY.
ONE YEAR, \$7.00.
SIX MONTHS, \$4.00.
THREE MONTHS, \$2.00.
ONE MONTH, \$0.75.

Notice to Mail Subscribers.
Subscribers are supplied with a notice of the date their subscription will expire ten days in advance of the time; and again with a second notice on the day the last copy paid for is sent. This will enable all persons to keep the run of their accounts, and to receive in time not to miss an issue of the paper.

A PAPER EVERY DAY IN THE WEEK.

A NEW FEATURE.

THE GROWING DEMAND FOR NEWS.

We will hereafter publish the Louisville Democrat every day in the week. Heretofore the Democrat has omitted Monday, and from Tuesday morning to Sunday, inclusive, our week's issue. Such is the growing demand for the latest news that the gap from Sunday morning to Tuesday morning is too great, and our readers and patrons grow impatient for a paper. To supply this need, we shall hereafter make the Sunday Democrat a paper of more general variety and interest to all classes and make the Monday issue the business medium of the public. The Sunday Democrat we shall endeavor to make a welcome guest to our subscribers generally, who upon paying a small additional amount, can have it left Sunday and Monday alike. Beside containing one or two well-selected and original stories, general news, poetry and miscellany, it will contain the local news, markets and telegraphic dispatches up to the hour of going to press. In short, it shall be an agreeable visitor to all.

The Monday's issue will be a separate paper, containing, of course, each additional news by the mails and by telegraph as we can procure, together with everything of local importance transpiring up to the hour of issue. The newsboys and news agents are invited to the advantages of the Sunday Democrat, as it will appear under the new organization. The first number will be issued on next Sunday, May 23d.

Those agents at a distance who take the Democrat by express will be supplied with it at the usual rates, and the paper will hereafter be sent them either by mail or by express, as they may prefer.

Mail subscribers will not receive the Sunday issue unless specially ordered, but Monday's issue, with Sunday's and Monday's dispatches, will be forwarded instantly. This will be more acceptable to a large number of our mail subscribers.

The "Democrat," a pure and simple, is located on the south side of the street, and we suppose, would prefer to be designated as the Democratic organ, South. The "Conservative," constitutional Democratic organ, is located on the north side of the street, and, therefore, claims special relationship with the Northern Democracy.—[Union Press.]

We do not know who the editor of the Press is. He is anonymous; perhaps too modest to be known, or maybe slightly ashamed of his calling. We can readily excuse this blundering, as he is rather green in politics; but we should like to drag him out into the light and be sure we was not some reformer Secessionist of 1850.

There was in the South that year a large secession from the Democratic party in the Southern States. They quit the party because it would not promise to protect slavery in a territory where the people didn't want it.

Most of the friends of the Union Press located in the South that year wanted to see their share in pieces of that great Southern constitutional right.

We had the honor of standing almost alone amongst newspapers in the South in opposition to this secessionist policy in this State. The Democracy, the great mass of them, were of the North. They offered, as they always had done, all that was just and proper to the South; but they would not promise to establish slavery in every Territory. These politicians South that made issue of secession from the party. They and the Bell men rivaled each other in their zeal for the Disunion issue. They ridiculed and denounced the Democracy of the North, and nearly sacrificed themselves to death at its and the Democracy, who would follow no strange gods.

Now, we want to know if this writer in the Press did not belong to that class of men South who raved and frothed at us as an Abolitionist, because we could not see the great constitutional right of the South? Didn't he vote for J. F. Bell in 1850?

The Northern Democrats agreed with us in 1850, and, indeed, before and since. The Secessionists who went after Breckinridge, and the other wing of Secessionists South who supported Bell, raving about secession from the Union, and denouncing the Democracy of the North, are welcome to be Democrats now, if they will leave their political sins behind them. They may have the credit of the name; we don't need it; for the Democracy know that we are of them. Our record is there, and against all Secessionists and Abolitionists.

But who is this editor of the Press? Where has he been, and what has he been? We want to see how much progress he has made. We want to know if he is a party man, a few years ago, were for every everywhere, whether the people wanted it or not, and are shocked now at its existence anywhere, no matter how much people may want it. We want to know how much a man can change in a short time, and how it is done. Let the editor put up his name, so that the rest of mankind can see it. The pioneer organ that is to report things generally, and lead off in a great reform on a new path that ignores old parties, and is for the "indispensable" and nothing else, ought not to hide the name of its editor from the world. Let the editor come out and show himself. He may be ashamed, but he need not be afraid.

The Louisville Democrat is no organ of Democrats South. Most who were Democrats once in the South left the party in 1850. They bolted, and their opponents, who shouted loud and they did, went with them into secession. They must report their sins before they enter the Democratic party again. There are plenty to fill their places in this State, who regret they were not always Democrats. They can never be Disunionists. If Disunion takes place, it will be by the conduct of others, in spite of Democrats. If the Union is dissolved by the ill conduct of other parties, the Democrats will go to work to rebuild what

others destroyed. They controlled this Government in peace and prosperity. It was generally in their hands, and it was the best Government in the world. The present calamities came upon the advent of another party to power. If Democrats had been continued in power they would have stopped this secession, if it had begun, by a wise and conciliatory policy; such as is now indispensable to restore this Union, if it ever be restored. We do not say that force would have been necessary, or that it is not a duty to use it, but in a case such as we have on hand, unless it is wisely used, there is no telling if it will not do infinitely more harm than good toward the end.

We find very often in our dispatches the signature "No quarter asked or given." If we could believe what the reporter thus coolly puts down from rumor, or from a desire that this war should assume so relentless a character, we would feel that this war had gone on long enough. If our Government cannot enforce its laws without violation of the common laws of humanity, its place had better be supplied by some other Government that will. We do not, however, believe these assertions, although they are frequently repeated. We cannot believe that the officers and soldiers in the field have a desire to render the horrors of war more atrocious than they are. We are more inclined to believe that the desire to make a sensation, or that strange misconception of mind which that force is characteristic of courage. To them it appears as something very valuable that soldiers should be without the wounded and mangled bodies of those who had surrendered, and in their secret hearts they hold that the act of Forrest's men at Fort Pillow was a very courageous thing. We are especially told that Butler's men propose to carry out the practical cry of "no quarter," and it is one of the little things that we admire in him that he has insisted upon it, saying that he is fully capable of such an act, provided there is no danger of the same measure's being meted out to him. As the rebel government has outlawed him, he perhaps thinks he is justified in outlawing his own men if he can. But we do not believe he can infuse any such barbarous sentiments in the breasts of the brave white men under his command; and as to the poor blacks, we hardly think they will be dangerous to any but themselves. We see that Grant, though perfect in his heart, does not take them into action, but keeps them as a useless reserve to consume rations.

A little moderation, a little reflection, would show these men who circulate such reports as transpiring up to the hour of issue. The newsboys and news agents are invited to the advantages of the Sunday Democrat, as it will appear under the new organization. The first number will be issued on next Sunday, May 23d.

Those agents at a distance who take the Democrat by express will be supplied with it at the usual rates, and the paper will hereafter be sent them either by mail or by express, as they may prefer.

Mail subscribers will not receive the Sunday issue unless specially ordered, but Monday's issue, with Sunday's and Monday's dispatches, will be forwarded instantly. This will be more acceptable to a large number of our mail subscribers.

The "Democrat," a pure and simple, is located on the south side of the street, and we suppose, would prefer to be designated as the Democratic organ, South. The "Conservative," constitutional Democratic organ, is located on the north side of the street, and, therefore, claims special relationship with the Northern Democracy.—[Union Press.]

We do not know who the editor of the Press is. He is anonymous; perhaps too modest to be known, or maybe slightly ashamed of his calling. We can readily excuse this blundering, as he is rather green in politics; but we should like to drag him out into the light and be sure we was not some reformer Secessionist of 1850.

There was in the South that year a large secession from the Democratic party in the Southern States. They quit the party because it would not promise to protect slavery in a territory where the people didn't want it.

Most of the friends of the Union Press located in the South that year wanted to see their share in pieces of that great Southern constitutional right.

We had the honor of standing almost alone amongst newspapers in the South in opposition to this secessionist policy in this State. The Democracy, the great mass of them, were of the North. They offered, as they always had done, all that was just and proper to the South; but they would not promise to establish slavery in every Territory. These politicians South that made issue of secession from the party. They and the Bell men rivaled each other in their zeal for the Disunion issue. They ridiculed and denounced the Democracy of the North, and nearly sacrificed themselves to death at its and the Democracy, who would follow no strange gods.

Now, we want to know if this writer in the Press did not belong to that class of men South who raved and frothed at us as an Abolitionist, because we could not see the great constitutional right of the South? Didn't he vote for J. F. Bell in 1850?

The Northern Democrats agreed with us in 1850, and, indeed, before and since. The Secessionists who went after Breckinridge, and the other wing of Secessionists South who supported Bell, raving about secession from the Union, and denouncing the Democracy of the North, are welcome to be Democrats now, if they will leave their political sins behind them. They may have the credit of the name; we don't need it; for the Democracy know that we are of them. Our record is there, and against all Secessionists and Abolitionists.

But who is this editor of the Press? Where has he been, and what has he been? We want to see how much progress he has made. We want to know if he is a party man, a few years ago, were for every everywhere, whether the people wanted it or not, and are shocked now at its existence anywhere, no matter how much people may want it. We want to know how much a man can change in a short time, and how it is done. Let the editor put up his name, so that the rest of mankind can see it. The pioneer organ that is to report things generally, and lead off in a great reform on a new path that ignores old parties, and is for the "indispensable" and nothing else, ought not to hide the name of its editor from the world. Let the editor come out and show himself. He may be ashamed, but he need not be afraid.

The Louisville Democrat is no organ of Democrats South. Most who were Democrats once in the South left the party in 1850. They bolted, and their opponents, who shouted loud and they did, went with them into secession. They must report their sins before they enter the Democratic party again. There are plenty to fill their places in this State, who regret they were not always Democrats. They can never be Disunionists. If Disunion takes place, it will be by the conduct of others, in spite of Democrats. If the Union is dissolved by the ill conduct of other parties, the Democrats will go to work to rebuild what

others destroyed. They controlled this Government in peace and prosperity. It was generally in their hands, and it was the best Government in the world. The present calamities came upon the advent of another party to power. If Democrats had been continued in power they would have stopped this secession, if it had begun, by a wise and conciliatory policy; such as is now indispensable to restore this Union, if it ever be restored. We do not say that force would have been necessary, or that it is not a duty to use it, but in a case such as we have on hand, unless it is wisely used, there is no telling if it will not do infinitely more harm than good toward the end.

We find very often in our dispatches the signature "No quarter asked or given." If we could believe what the reporter thus coolly puts down from rumor, or from a desire that this war should assume so relentless a character, we would feel that this war had gone on long enough. If our Government cannot enforce its laws without violation of the common laws of humanity, its place had better be supplied by some other Government that will. We do not, however, believe these assertions, although they are frequently repeated. We cannot believe that the officers and soldiers in the field have a desire to render the horrors of war more atrocious than they are. We are more inclined to believe that the desire to make a sensation, or that strange misconception of mind which that force is characteristic of courage. To them it appears as something very valuable that soldiers should be without the wounded and mangled bodies of those who had surrendered, and in their secret hearts they hold that the act of Forrest's men at Fort Pillow was a very courageous thing. We are especially told that Butler's men propose to carry out the practical cry of "no quarter," and it is one of the little things that we admire in him that he has insisted upon it, saying that he is fully capable of such an act, provided there is no danger of the same measure's being meted out to him. As the rebel government has outlawed him, he perhaps thinks he is justified in outlawing his own men if he can. But we do not believe he can infuse any such barbarous sentiments in the breasts of the brave white men under his command; and as to the poor blacks, we hardly think they will be dangerous to any but themselves. We see that Grant, though perfect in his heart, does not take them into action, but keeps them as a useless reserve to consume rations.

A little moderation, a little reflection, would show these men who circulate such reports as transpiring up to the hour of issue. The newsboys and news agents are invited to the advantages of the Sunday Democrat, as it will appear under the new organization. The first number will be issued on next Sunday, May 23d.

Those agents at a distance who take the Democrat by express will be supplied with it at the usual rates, and the paper will hereafter be sent them either by mail or by express, as they may prefer.

Mail subscribers will not receive the Sunday issue unless specially ordered, but Monday's issue, with Sunday's and Monday's dispatches, will be forwarded instantly. This will be more acceptable to a large number of our mail subscribers.

The "Democrat," a pure and simple, is located on the south side of the street, and we suppose, would prefer to be designated as the Democratic organ, South. The "Conservative," constitutional Democratic organ, is located on the north side of the street, and, therefore, claims special relationship with the Northern Democracy.—[Union Press.]

We do not know who the editor of the Press is. He is anonymous; perhaps too modest to be known, or maybe slightly ashamed of his calling. We can readily excuse this blundering, as he is rather green in politics; but we should like to drag him out into the light and be sure we was not some reformer Secessionist of 1850.

There was in the South that year a large secession from the Democratic party in the Southern States. They quit the party because it would not promise to protect slavery in a territory where the people didn't want it.

Most of the friends of the Union Press located in the South that year wanted to see their share in pieces of that great Southern constitutional right.

We had the honor of standing almost alone amongst newspapers in the South in opposition to this secessionist policy in this State. The Democracy, the great mass of them, were of the North. They offered, as they always had done, all that was just and proper to the South; but they would not promise to establish slavery in every Territory. These politicians South that made issue of secession from the party. They and the Bell men rivaled each other in their zeal for the Disunion issue. They ridiculed and denounced the Democracy of the North, and nearly sacrificed themselves to death at its and the Democracy, who would follow no strange gods.

Now, we want to know if this writer in the Press did not belong to that class of men South who raved and frothed at us as an Abolitionist, because we could not see the great constitutional right of the South? Didn't he vote for J. F. Bell in 1850?

The Northern Democrats agreed with us in 1850, and, indeed, before and since. The Secessionists who went after Breckinridge, and the other wing of Secessionists South who supported Bell, raving about secession from the Union, and denouncing the Democracy of the North, are welcome to be Democrats now, if they will leave their political sins behind them. They may have the credit of the name; we don't need it; for the Democracy know that we are of them. Our record is there, and against all Secessionists and Abolitionists.

But who is this editor of the Press? Where has he been, and what has he been? We want to see how much progress he has made. We want to know if he is a party man, a few years ago, were for every everywhere, whether the people wanted it or not, and are shocked now at its existence anywhere, no matter how much people may want it. We want to know how much a man can change in a short time, and how it is done. Let the editor put up his name, so that the rest of mankind can see it. The pioneer organ that is to report things generally, and lead off in a great reform on a new path that ignores old parties, and is for the "indispensable" and nothing else, ought not to hide the name of its editor from the world. Let the editor come out and show himself. He may be ashamed, but he need not be afraid.

The Louisville Democrat is no organ of Democrats South. Most who were Democrats once in the South left the party in 1850. They bolted, and their opponents, who shouted loud and they did, went with them into secession. They must report their sins before they enter the Democratic party again. There are plenty to fill their places in this State, who regret they were not always Democrats. They can never be Disunionists. If Disunion takes place, it will be by the conduct of others, in spite of Democrats. If the Union is dissolved by the ill conduct of other parties, the Democrats will go to work to rebuild what

others destroyed. They controlled this Government in peace and prosperity. It was generally in their hands, and it was the best Government in the world. The present calamities came upon the advent of another party to power. If Democrats had been continued in power they would have stopped this secession, if it had begun, by a wise and conciliatory policy; such as is now indispensable to restore this Union, if it ever be restored. We do not say that force would have been necessary, or that it is not a duty to use it, but in a case such as we have on hand, unless it is wisely used, there is no telling if it will not do infinitely more harm than good toward the end.

We find very often in our dispatches the signature "No quarter asked or given." If we could believe what the reporter thus coolly puts down from rumor, or from a desire that this war should assume so relentless a character, we would feel that this war had gone on long enough. If our Government cannot enforce its laws without violation of the common laws of humanity, its place had better be supplied by some other Government that will. We do not, however, believe these assertions, although they are frequently repeated. We cannot believe that the officers and soldiers in the field have a desire to render the horrors of war more atrocious than they are. We are more inclined to believe that the desire to make a sensation, or that strange misconception of mind which that force is characteristic of courage. To them it appears as something very valuable that soldiers should be without the wounded and mangled bodies of those who had surrendered, and in their secret hearts they hold that the act of Forrest's men at Fort Pillow was a very courageous thing. We are especially told that Butler's men propose to carry out the practical cry of "no quarter," and it is one of the little things that we admire in him that he has insisted upon it, saying that he is fully capable of such an act, provided there is no danger of the same measure's being meted out to him. As the rebel government has outlawed him, he perhaps thinks he is justified in outlawing his own men if he can. But we do not believe he can infuse any such barbarous sentiments in the breasts of the brave white men under his command; and as to the poor blacks, we hardly think they will be dangerous to any but themselves. We see that Grant, though perfect in his heart, does not take them into action, but keeps them as a useless reserve to consume rations.

A little moderation, a little reflection, would show these men who circulate such reports as transpiring up to the hour of issue. The newsboys and news agents are invited to the advantages of the Sunday Democrat, as it will appear under the new organization. The first number will be issued on next Sunday, May 23d.

Those agents at a distance who take the Democrat by express will be supplied with it at the usual rates, and the paper will hereafter be sent them either by mail or by express, as they may prefer.

The Periodical on to Richmond.

[From the Richmond Dispatch, May 7.]
The rubens invader essays his political effort to the city—the "blissful city," as he styles it. Our people have become so accustomed to these marches upon Richmond, and the lesser rubens, the enemy, that they are no longer excited by them. The spirit-stirring drum and all the emblems of war create no excitement in our streets. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner. The march is made in a quiet and orderly manner, and as if he were going on a matter of private, and not at all in a military manner.

</

10